

Transverse Shifts in Paraxial Spinoptics*

C. Duval^{1†}, P. A. Horvathy^{2,3‡}, P. M. Zhang^{2§}

¹*Centre de Physique Théorique, Marseille, France*

²*Institute of Modern Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Lanzhou, China*

³*Laboratoire de Mathématiques et de Physique Théorique, Université de Tours, France*

(Dated: May 1, 2012)

The paraxial approximation of a classical spinning photon is shown to yield an “exotic particle” in the plane transverse to the propagation. The previously proposed and observed *position shift* between media with different refractive indices is modified when the interface is curved, and there also appears a novel, *momentum* [direction] shift. The laws of thin lenses are modified accordingly.

PACS numbers: 42.15.-i, 42.50.Tx, 42.25.Ja, 45.30.+s,

Fermat’s light is simply a line in space. Real light has also spin, however. A first consequence is that the propagation in space induces a change of the polarization [1, 2]. More recently, it has been argued that the change of the polarization should be fed back at the semiclassical dynamics of light [3]. Then the most dramatic consequence is that the outgoing ray is slightly shifted in the transverse direction with respect to the incoming light ray [3, 4]. This recently observed [5, 6] spin-Hall-type effect occurs when the interface is a plane; then the proof relies on the symmetries of the geometrical setting, namely momentum and angular momentum conservation. See, e.g., [7] for reviews.

In this Letter we point out that at a curved interface with no particular symmetry, as that of a lens, for example, an additional effect arises: the Hall shift is modified and also the *direction* of the light ray is deflected due to the curvature.

We demonstrate our statement by generalizing the paraxial approximation from scalar to spin optics.

We first consider *spinless* light rays propagating approximately along the z axis in the forward direction. In matrix optics [9, 10] a light ray is labeled by the point $\mathbf{Q}$ where it hits some given reference plane, and by its direction at that point, given by a vector $\mathbf{u}$. It is more convenient to use instead the “momentum” $\mathbf{P} = n\mathbf{u}$, where n is the refractive index, assumed to be locally constant and discontinuous on the interfaces. The 2-vectors $\mathbf{Q}$ and $\mathbf{P}$ label a point in phase space, i.e., label a light ray in the paraxial approximation.

We choose incoming and outgoing reference planes at $z = z_{\text{in}}$ and $z = z_{\text{out}}$ such that our optical device lies between the two. Light propagation through our device is described, classically, by a *canonical transformation* (or *symplectic scattering*) between “in” and “out” states [8]. It is hence a classical counterpart of the S-matrix in quan-

tum mechanics. In the linear (or paraxial) approximation our optical instrument is characterized therefore by a 4×4 matrix M such that $M^t \mathcal{J} M = \mathcal{J}$, where $\mathcal{J} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is the canonical symplectic matrix of 4-dimensional phase space, given by Eq. (9) below. The ingoing and outgoing rays are hence related by [9, 10]

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Q}_{\text{out}} \\ \mathbf{P}_{\text{out}} \end{pmatrix} = M \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Q}_{\text{in}} \\ \mathbf{P}_{\text{in}} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1)$$

An *optical interface*, for example, is given by a refraction matrix

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\mathcal{P} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2)$$

where the symmetric 2×2 matrix $\mathcal{P}$ is the *power* of the device. For a plane interface, for example, $\mathcal{P} = 0$, so M is the unit matrix. Thus $\mathbf{P}_{\text{out}} = n_{\text{out}} \mathbf{u}_{\text{out}} = \mathbf{P}_{\text{in}} = n_{\text{in}} \mathbf{u}_{\text{in}}$ which is the linearized form of Snel’s law of refraction. The latter is hence incorporated into the formalism.

For a cylindrical-symmetric thin lens $\mathcal{P}$ is a scalar determined by the refractive indices, n_{out} and n_{in} of the lens and the surrounding optical medium, respectively, and the (signed) curvatures of the lens.

Translations matrices are in turn of the form $T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & d \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, where the scalar $d > 0$ represents the optical length along which light travels freely in the optical medium of constant refractive index n [9, 10]. The important result of [10] is that any optical instrument, i.e., any element of the symplectic group $\text{Sp}(4, \mathbb{R})$ can be decomposed as a product of matrices of type L and T , the building blocks of linear optics.

In view of Eq. (1) with $M = L$, refraction is described by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Q}_{\text{out}} \\ \mathbf{P}_{\text{out}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Q}_{\text{in}} \\ \mathbf{P}_{\text{in}} - \mathcal{P} \mathbf{Q}_{\text{in}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3)$$

so that $\mathbf{Q}_{\text{out}} = \mathbf{Q}_{\text{in}}$, confirming that spinless light rays fall on and get out of the lens at the same point. The equation

$$\mathbf{P}_{\text{out}} = \mathbf{P}_{\text{in}} - \mathcal{P} \mathbf{Q}_{\text{in}} \quad (4)$$

*Dedicated to the memory of J.-M. Souriau, deceased on March 15 2012.

[†]Aix-Marseille Univ, CNRS UMR-7332, Univ Sud Toulon-Var, 13288 Marseille Cedex 9, France. <mailto:duval@cpt.univ-mrs.fr>

[‡]<mailto:horvathy@lmpt.univ-tours.fr>

[§]<mailto:zhpm@impcas.ac.cn>

is in turn an expression of the properties of the optical device, and follows from [the linearized] Snel law of refraction [9, 10].

Likewise, for a translation, i.e., if $M = T$, we get $\mathbf{P}_{\text{out}} = \mathbf{P}_{\text{in}}$, and $\mathbf{Q}_{\text{out}} = \mathbf{Q}_{\text{in}} + d\mathbf{P}_{\text{in}}$, which is clearly the new location of the photon, away, at the distance d .

As first proposed by Souriau [8], semiclassical free spinning photon is described by the 2-form

$$\sigma = d(\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{u} \cdot d\mathbf{r}) - \mathbf{s} \Omega, \quad (5)$$

where $\mathbf{p} = \hbar/\lambda$ (*color*), and $\mathbf{s} = \chi\hbar$ (*spin*) are Euclidean invariants of the model; here λ is the reduced wavelength, and $\chi = \pm 1$ the helicity [4, 8]. In Eq. (5), the 3-vector $\mathbf{r}$ is an arbitrary point on the light ray, whose direction is the unit 3-vector $\mathbf{u}$; also $\Omega = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{ijk}u_i \cdot du_j \wedge du_k$ is the area element of the 2-sphere.

Such a description is clearly redundant, as two vectors $\mathbf{r}$ and $\mathbf{r}'$ represent the same ray whenever $\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'$ is proportional to $\mathbf{u}$. A light ray corresponds rather to a null curve γ of σ , i.e., such that $\sigma_{\alpha\beta} \dot{\gamma}^\alpha = 0$. In the free case, these are indeed straight lines, pointing in the direction of $\mathbf{u}$.

We just mention here that the 2-form σ in (5) is related to a generalized variational calculus in a suitably extended space; the first term corresponds to the usual Fermat term of a “spinless photon” while the second, “Berry” term, represents the spin [4].

The “gravitational” coupling of our photon to the Fermat metric $ds^2 = n^2(\mathbf{r})(dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2)$ yields [4] Papapetrou-type equations for spinning light rays in an inhomogeneous isotropic medium characterized by a refractive index n . The latter duly reduce to those of [3] in the special case of circularly polarized light coupled to a slowly varying refractive index.

At our (semi-)classical level, the paraxial approximation amounts to converting the z coordinate into time by a trick reminding one to taking the non-relativistic limit [12]. Let us hence introduce $u_x = v_x/c$ and $u_y = v_y/c$, where $c > 0$ has the dimension of velocity. Then $u_z = 1 - (v_x^2 + v_y^2)/2c^2 + \dots$, where the ellipses denote higher-order terms in c^{-2} . Inserting into (5) and defining $m = \mathbf{p}/c$ we find,

$$\sigma = m(dv_x \wedge dx + dv_y \wedge dy) - dE \wedge dt + \kappa dv_x \wedge dv_y + \dots \quad (6)$$

where $t = z/c$ and $E = (m/2)(v_x^2 + v_y^2) - E_0 + \dots$ with $E_0 = mc^2$ are a time coordinate and non-relativistic energy, respectively, and where $\kappa = -\chi\hbar/c^2$. In (6) we recognize the 2-form of the “exotic” planar model constructed by Souriau’s method [8], starting with the two-fold extension of the planar Galilei group [11, 12]: *paraxial approximation converts free spinoptics into “exotic” classical mechanics in the transverse (x, y) -plane*. Ordinary geometrical optics is recovered in the limit $\hbar = 0$.

To describe light in an optical medium it is enough to replace the color, $\mathbf{p} = \hbar/\lambda$, by $n\mathbf{p}$ according to the Fermat prescription.

Let us start with the case $n = \text{const}$. Putting $t = 0$, in (6), we get a bona fide symplectic 2 form, ω , on the phase space. After a suitable rescaling, $\omega \rightarrow (\lambda/\hbar)\omega$, introducing $p_x = nu_x, p_y = nu_y$, we end up with

$$\omega \approx dp_x \wedge dx + dp_y \wedge dy + \kappa dp_x \wedge dp_y, \quad \kappa = -\frac{\chi\lambda}{n^2}. \quad (7)$$

Paraxial approximation of spinoptics is therefore governed by the *twisted (exotic)* symplectic form (7); the Hamiltonian $H = E/\mathbf{p}c$ is $H \approx (p_x^2 + p_y^2)/2n - n$.

A tedious calculation, performed along the lines of [4], shows that the preceding formulas for ω and H still hold for a slowly varying refractive index, n . Below, we shall only apply our formalism to the case of a constant refractive index, and use symplectic scattering across some sharp interfaces, where it jumps.

Consistently with Darboux’s theorem, the twisted symplectic structure (7) can be brought into canonical form. Put indeed

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Q} \\ \mathbf{P} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{2}\kappa J \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{q} \\ \mathbf{p} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (8)$$

where $\mathbf{q} = (x, y)$ and $\mathbf{p} = (p_x, p_y)$, and ditto for $\mathbf{Q}$ and $\mathbf{P}$. Here, $J = (\epsilon_{ij})$ is a rotation by 90 degrees clockwise in the plane. The transformation (8) brings the symplectic matrix (7) into canonical form,

$$\omega \approx dP_x \wedge dX + dP_y \wedge dY. \quad (9)$$

Now our fundamental assumption is that for paraxial optics whose symplectic matrix is canonical i.e., for the coordinates $(\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{P})$, the previously recorded laws of linear optics should apply. Then the results should simply be translated to our *physical* coordinate system $(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})$.

The scattering of light by the (curved) interface between two regions with refractive indices n_{in} , and n_{out} , i.e., with power $\mathcal{P}_{\text{in}}$, reads, hence,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{q}_{\text{out}} \\ \mathbf{p}_{\text{out}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{q}_{\text{in}} + \frac{1}{2}J(\kappa_{\text{in}}\mathbf{p}_{\text{in}} - \kappa_{\text{out}}\mathbf{p}_{\text{out}}) \\ \mathbf{p}_{\text{in}} - \mathcal{P}_{\text{in}}(\mathbf{q}_{\text{in}} + \frac{1}{2}\kappa_{\text{in}}J\mathbf{p}_{\text{in}}) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (10)$$

This entails that the impact location of the ray undergoes a spin-Hall shift across the interface, viz.,

$$\mathbf{q}_{\text{out}} - \mathbf{q}_{\text{in}} = \frac{1}{2}J(\kappa_{\text{in}}\mathbf{p}_{\text{in}} - \kappa_{\text{out}}\mathbf{p}_{\text{out}}). \quad (11)$$

From Eq. (10), we deduce, furthermore, the additional *momentum shift* $\Delta\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{p}_{\text{out}} - \mathbf{p}_{\text{in}} + \mathcal{P}_{\text{in}}\mathbf{q}_{\text{in}}$, namely

$$\Delta\mathbf{p} = -\frac{1}{2}\kappa_{\text{in}}\mathcal{P}_{\text{in}}J\mathbf{p}_{\text{in}} \quad (12)$$

that yields a modification of (4). But the momentum is proportional to the direction, $\mathbf{p} = n\mathbf{u}$, so this amounts in fact to *deflecting the direction* of the outgoing ray.

As to the position shift, $\Delta \mathbf{q} = \mathbf{q}_{\text{out}} - \mathbf{q}_{\text{in}}$, it now reads

$$\Delta \mathbf{q} = \frac{1}{2} J ((\kappa_{\text{in}} - \kappa_{\text{out}}) \mathbf{p}_{\text{in}} + \kappa_{\text{out}} \mathcal{P}_{\text{in}} \mathbf{q}_{\text{in}}) + \dots \quad (13)$$

up to a term $\sim \lambda^2$.

Restricting our considerations to, e.g., cylindrically symmetric interfaces for which $\mathcal{P}_{\text{in}} = 1/f_{\text{in}}$ is a scalar matrix and putting, e.g., $\mathbf{p}_{\text{in}} = (n_{\text{in}} \theta_{\text{in}}, 0)$ where $\theta_{\text{in}} \ll 1$ is the angle of incidence, we readily get from Eq. (13)

$$\Delta x \cong -\frac{\chi \lambda}{2n_{\text{out}}^2} \frac{y_{\text{in}}}{f_{\text{in}}}, \quad (14)$$

$$\Delta y \cong \chi \lambda \left[\frac{n_{\text{out}}^2 - n_{\text{in}}^2}{2n_{\text{in}} n_{\text{out}}^2} \right] \theta_{\text{in}} + \frac{\chi \lambda}{2n_{\text{out}}^2} \frac{x_{\text{in}}}{f_{\text{in}}}, \quad (15)$$

which, for $f_{\text{in}} \rightarrow +\infty$, reduces to the linearized *optical Hall shift* [3, 4], viz.,

$$\Delta x = 0, \quad \Delta y = \chi \lambda \frac{\cos \theta_{\text{out}} - \cos \theta_{\text{in}}}{n_{\text{in}} \sin \theta_{\text{in}}}. \quad (16)$$

The extra terms in (14) and (15) arise from curvature, and disappear for $\mathbf{q}_{\text{in}} = 0$. From (12) we infer that $\Delta \mathbf{p}$ is perpendicular to the incoming momentum,

$$\Delta p_x = 0, \quad \Delta p_y = -\frac{\chi \lambda}{2n_{\text{in}} f_{\text{in}}} \theta_{\text{in}}. \quad (17)$$

Let us apply our results to the example of a thin lens. Denoting by $\mathcal{P}_{\text{in}}$, and $\mathcal{P}_{\text{out}}$ the powers of the “in” and “out” interfaces with $z_{\text{in}} \cong z_{\text{out}}$, we readily get $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_{\text{in}} + \mathcal{P}_{\text{out}}$. Then, the preceding formula (10), applied successively, leads to the following position and momentum shifts,

$$\Delta \mathbf{q} \cong +\frac{1}{2} \kappa_{\text{in}} J \mathcal{P} \mathbf{q}_{\text{in}}, \quad \Delta \mathbf{p} = -\frac{1}{2} \kappa_{\text{in}} \mathcal{P} J \mathbf{p}_{\text{in}}. \quad (18)$$

In conclusion, our main result is that when polarized light is refracted by an optical device, the rays suffer, in addition to the already confirmed optical Hall shift [5, 6], an extra positional shift due to curvature, as well as a deflection of their *direction*. Both effects are of the order of the wavelength.

The optical Hall shift, (16), is usually derived [3, 4] from the conservation of the angular momentum, *assuming* Snel’s law – derived in turn from *linear momentum conservation* [4]. Angular momentum is also present for axially symmetric lenses; it is the *translational symmetry* along the interface which is broken.

The momentum effect only arises for optical devices with non-trivial power, $\mathcal{P} \neq 0$, and vanishes for a planar interface.

Intuitively, due to the position displacement, the incoming ray goes out at a position which is slightly different from where it entered; but this implies a different incidence angle, since the tangent plane has moved, too.

It is worth mentioning that our effect here is different from the momentum shift predicted in [13], since the latter is refraction-related, and is rather reminiscent of the focal shift studied in [14, 15].

We mention for completeness that for translations, applied to spinoptics, we merely get $\mathbf{q}_{\text{out}} = \mathbf{q}_{\text{in}} + d \mathbf{p}_{\text{in}}$, and $\mathbf{p}_{\text{out}} = \mathbf{p}_{\text{in}}$ as expected.

In its common formulation, paraxial optics means converting Maxwell’s equations into the form of a non-relativistic Schrödinger equation with the z coordinate playing the rôle of time. In this Letter we use, instead, a (semi-)classical mechanical model, whose “quantization” would allow us to recover the Schrödinger description.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank K. Bliokh for enlightening correspondence, and G. Gibbons for directing our attention to the subject. P.A.H is indebted to the *Institute of Modern Physics* of the Lanzhou branch of the Chinese Academy of Sciences for hospitality. This work was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11035006) and by the Chinese Academy of Sciences Visiting Professorship for Senior International Scientists (Grant No. 2010TIJ06).

-
- [1] S. M. Rytov, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR **18** (1938) 263; V. V. Vladimirovskii, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR **31** (1941) 222.
 - [2] R. Chiao and Y-S Wu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **57** (1986) 933; A. Tomita and R. Chiao, Phys. Rev. Lett. **57** (1986) 937.
 - [3] K. Yu. Bliokh and Yu. P. Bliokh, JETP Lett. **79** (2004) 519; Phys. Lett. **A333** (2004) 181, physics/0402110; M. Onoda, S. Murakami and N. Nagaosa, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93** (2004), 083901.
 - [4] C. Duval, Z. Horváth, P. A. Horváth : Phys. Rev. **D74**, 021701(R) (2006), Journ. Geom. Phys. **57**, 925 (2007).
 - [5] O. Hosten and P. Kwiat, Science **319**, 787 (2008).
 - [6] K. Y Bliokh., A. Niv, V. Kleiner and E. Hasman, Nature Photonics **2** (2008), 748, arXiv:0810.2136.
 - [7] K. Y. Bliokh and A. Aiello, “Goos-Hänchen and Imbert-Fedorov beam shifts at a plane dielectric interface”, Laser Physics (to be published); K. Y. Bliokh, A. Aiello, and M. A. Alonso, “Spin-orbit interactions of light in isotropic media”. Book chapter (to be published).
 - [8] J.-M. Souriau, *Structure des systèmes dynamiques*, Dunod (1970). *Structure of Dynamical Systems. A Symplectic View of Physics*, Birkhäuser, Boston (1997).
 - [9] A. Gerrard, J. M. Birch, *Introduction to matrix methods in optics*. Wiley-Interscience Publication London - New York - Sidney - Toronto (1975).
 - [10] V. Guillemin and S. Sternberg, *Symplectic techniques in physics*, Cambridge UP (1984).

- [11] C. Duval and P. A. Horváthy, Phys. Lett. **B 479** (2000) 284; Journ. Phys. **A 34** (2001) 10097,
- [12] R. Jackiw and V. P. Nair, Phys. Lett. **B 480** (2000) 237, C. Duval and P. A. Horváthy, Phys. Lett. **B 547** (2002) 306.
- [13] K. Yu. Bliokh and Yu. P. Bliokh, Phys. Rev. E 75, 066609 (2007)
- [14] K. Y. Bliokh, M. A. Alonso, E. A. Ostrovskaya, and A. Aiello, Phys. Rev. **A 82**, 063825 (2010)
- [15] B. Ya. Zeldovich, N.D. Kundikova, Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **59** (1994) 737.